

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,178		10/08/2003	Tomonobu Takashima	1046.1001C2D3	5393
21171	7590	07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
	& HALSE	Y LLP	HSU, ALPUS		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2616	
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/680,178	TAKASHIMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alpus H. Hsu	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/8/	<u>/03, 2/9/04</u> .	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1,121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>08/004,134</u> . d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	, 0	, (DTO 440) :					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/8/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/680,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/004,134, filed on January 13, 1993.

- 2. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure, which is not enabling. Multiplexing of ATM cells is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). To be more specific, the entire specification disclosure is directed to the multiplexing of ATM cells in forming a new ATM cell. However, the newly invention as claimed in the instant application is directed to the multiplexing of communication information with channel identification information and information indicative of lengths of the communication information in packets, which fails to comply with the written description requirement since the claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 10/680,178

Art Unit: 2616

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TANABE et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,119,369 (cited by the applicant) in view of NOIREL in U.S. Patent No. 4,496,975.

Referring to claims 17-20, TANABE discloses a multiplexing method, device and system, by outputting a first packet and a second packet, each including communication information of a channel in a part of a payload; inputting the first packet and the second packet and extracting the communication information from the payload of each of the first packet and the second packet; multiplexing the communication information, channel identification information of the communication information of the first packet and the second packet, both of the packets being transferred to a same node, into a payload of a new packet; and outputting the new packet (see col. 2, lines 44-65).

TANABE differs from the claims, in that, it does not disclose the feature of packets multiplexing include the information indicative of lengths of communication information in each packet to be multiplexed, which is well known in the art and commonly applied for data address access and control in memory when conducting data multiplexing.

NOIREL, for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the use of the information indicative of lengths of communication information in the packet with the communication information, channel identification information of the communication information of each packet for data packet multiplexing (see col. 1, lines 59-66), which can be

easily adopted by one of ordinary skill in the art into the method, device, and system in TANABE, to provide data packets multiplexing utilizing communication information, channel identification information of the communication information, and the information indicative of lengths of communication information of each packet to further improve the system efficiency.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jurkvich et al., Naito et al., and Sugawara are all cited to show the feature of data multiplexing/merging utilizing channel identifier information in header of each packet/cell similar to the claimed invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571)272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,178 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2616

Upm n. Bon